

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/630,851	0	07/31/2003	Hirokazu Kimiya	2003_1052A	1978	
513	7590	06/27/2005		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.				AUSTIN, MELISSA J		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1745	1745	
	•			DATE MAIL ED: 06/07/2009	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

w.	7		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Symmony	10/630,851	KIMIYA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melissa Austin	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims _.			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3-11 are pending in this application after the amendment submitted 23 March 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hall et al. (EP 0 922 637 A2). Hall teaches a battery system in which a battery is connected to a radiator for discharging heat by a heat conduction artery. A thermal switch device with a controller thermally connects/disconnects the battery and the radiator and also physically contacts them. The thermal switch is opened (applicant's breaks off thermal connection) when the battery is near its minimum desired temperature so that the battery is not excessively cooled. The reference teaches two such devices. The exemplary thermal switch is a variable conduction heat pipe in which noncondensible gas in a reservoir is heated to open the switch (that is, restrict heat conductance from the battery). The device may also be a diode heat pipe, thermal joint such as a fusible alloy, or inflation of a helium bladder (applicant's heat controller that transforms shape). As shown in Figure 4, a heat pipe (60) connects a battery (38,44) and a heat source (63N56). (Col. 6, 11. 15 Col. 7, 11. 50).

Art Unit: 1745

3. Claims 1, 3-6, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Takabori et al. (JP 61-58173). Takabori et al. disclose a fuel cell with a temperature

controller. The controller is formed of a bimetal, which changes shape at temperatures

determined by the composition of the bimetal (applicant's predetermined temperature

and is mounted to a liquid reservoir of the fuel cell. Highly heat conductive thin pieces

are inserted into the fuel cell and radiation fins are exposed to the outside. The

adjustment of cell temperature is provided by using the bimetal controller to contact the

projection connecting the radiation fins which stand vertically or at an incline. (abstract,

figure).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 26 March 2005 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the switches of Hall do not transform shape by

heat generated in one of the associated batteries; however, the transformation is a

result of the heat generated in the batteries; the heat controller causes the switches to

open in close based on the heat generated in the batteries. Applicant also argues that

controlling a switch based on a minimum desired temperature would not suggest to one

of ordinary skill in the art that the switch should be closed when the temperature

reaches at least a first predetermined temperature. However, the minimum desired

temperature is a "first predetermined temperature," and if one of ordinary skill in the art

can open the switch at a given temperature, they can close the switch at a given

temperature.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a heat controller that establishes the thermal connection between the radiator and power source by expanding or a heat controller that moves the power source.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,851

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Melissa Austin whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, alt. Friday, 7:15

AM - 4:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mja

Melissa Austin Patent Examiner Art Unit 1745

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 5